

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Handbridge Neighbourhood Plan - Examiner procedural matters and questions
Date: 01 March 2025 21:48:28

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr Carnaby,

As requested, we have uploaded your letter to the documents area of our website here:

<https://handbridgeneighbourhoodplan.co.uk/documents/>

The HNF committee has considered your questions and our answers are as follows:

1. Date of submission to CWaCC

Question to HNF and CWaCC

I note that the Regulation 16 consultation began on 19 December 2024, but that the CWaCC web site states two dates for the end of the consultation period: 3 February 2025 and 7 March 2025. Which one is correct and on what date was the Plan submitted to CWaCC?

The plan was submitted by HNF to CWaC by email on 6 December 2024.

2. Policy HNP1

Question to HNF and CWaCC

Noting the Regulation 16 representation from CWaCC, it seems to me that Policy HNP1 should be modified by amending the first sentence of the second paragraph to: "Development proposals that lie within or adjacent to the Network ..., etc". Does the HNF and CWaCC agree

HNF agrees with the suggested amendment.

3. Policy HNP4

Question to HNF

Policy HNP4 includes the sentence "All proposals for new commercial, community, apartments or retail development shall provide safe and secure cycle storage and facilities for mobility scooters". Given the comprehensive guidelines for cycle parking in the CWaCC Supplementary Planning Document: Parking Standards dated February 2022, is this section of Policy HNP4 necessary?

HNF agrees for the sentence to be removed from the policy given that this is covered in the CWaC Supplementary Planning Document: Parking Standards dated February 2022.

4. Policy HNP7

Question to HNF.

CWaCC suggest amending the second sentence of Policy HNP7 to "All new development should, where applicable ...". Does the HNF have any comments?

HNF agrees with the suggested amendment.

5. Policy HNP12

a) Question to HNF.

CWaCC suggest deleting the final phrase of the following Clause in Policy HNP12.

“A iii. Development is consistent with the scale and social and cultural function of the Centre and is not of an industrial or other use that should be located in a town centre identified in Local Plan (Part One) policy ECON2.” Does the HNF have any comments.

HNF agrees with the suggested deletion.

b) Question to HNF and CWaCC.

The first sentence of Clause D appears confused. Perhaps there is a typographical error or superfluous word: do the HNF and CWaCC have comments on the following suggested phrasing?

“Development proposals that require loss of ground floor floorspace in a local retail unit, or pub or drinking establishment to facilitate access to upper floor residential ... etc.

HNF agrees with the amendment.

Best wishes,
Conor.